UNITED STATES DESTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number <u>CR-09-70143</u> (RS)
Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act 18 II S.C. s	3142(f), a detention hearing was held on 2/18, 200
Defendant was present, represented by his attorney	3142(1), a detention hearing was held on _2/18 , 2009.
Assistant U.S. Attorney C. Mandell.	. The United States was represented by
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
/ / The defendant is showed with my	
convicted of a prior offense describ	ped in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been
convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has elapse	1) while on release pending trial for a federal state or local
imprisonment which we interest than five (5) years has elaps	1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local ed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from
imprisonment, whichever is later.	and resemble of the person from
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no conc	dition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
/ / There is probable cause based upon (the indictme	nt) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the
•	
A for which a maximum term of imprisonm	ent of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et
seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR	of 10 years of more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et
B. under 18 U.S.C. 8 924(c): use of a firearm	n during the commission of a felon
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the co	ation of combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
No presumption applies.	FEB 1 8 2009
PART II. REBUITAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	, 5p 10 500A
/ The defendant has not come forward -:	DLERK
will be ordered detained.	ence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefore
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to r	ebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United State	
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAP	S.
/Y/ The United States has proved to a more and an analysis	PLICABLE)
will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as require	the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions
will reasonably assure the safety of energial	ag evidence that no condition or combination of conditions
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF RE	ASONS FOR DETENTION
at hearing and finds as fall and \$60.00 at 100.00 at 100.00 and 50.00 as fall and \$60.00 at 100.00 at 100.	n 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted
at hearing and finds as follows: Whe defendant	is charled with a Walating of
43 3/3/10. At 15 undocument	ed. He has me selone
conferm involving drucs	Alalas has a DUT amiliate.
sulistance alrest may inde	inte an imple of to how
silet court orders.	- while
// Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived w	ritten findings
TARI V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Co.	neral or his designated range-
corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons aware ppeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for	iting or serving contended to the serving cont
of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government	the consultation with detense counsel. On order of a court
of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Governmen the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appear	
an appear	rance in connection with a court proceeding.

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge